

**MINUTES OF THE  
INTERVIEWS AND FINAL CMAR SELECTION**

**Exterior Waterproofing and Reroof Sections A/B/C/F (Phases 3 and 4)  
Louisiana State Capitol Building  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana  
Project No. 01-107-12-01, F.01003957**

A meeting of the selection committee to conduct interviews and make the final selection for the project referenced above was held in the Claiborne Building, 1201 N. Third Street, Louisiana Purchase Rm 1-100, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802 on 8/29/2024.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Matthew Baker, CMAR Coordinator. Those committee members present were Cheryl Cloud, Lyle Savant, Glenn Frazier, Christin Meeker, Rob Ratcliff

The first item of business was to ask for any public comments. There were none.

The next item of business was to enter executive session for interviews. There was a roll call vote and all committee members agreed to enter executive session.

Presentations during the executive session were as follows:

9:15 a.m. – 10:15 a.m.	The Lemoine Company, LLC
10:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.	RNGD Builders, LLC
11:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.	Lunch Break
12:30 p.m. – 1:30 p.m.	Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, AJV
1:30 p.m. – 2:00 p.m.	Break
2:00 p.m.	Resume Public Meeting for Final Selection

The committee reconvened at 2:00 p.m. Those committee members present were: Cheryl Cloud, Lyle Savant, Glenn Frazier, Christin Meeker, Rob Ratcliff. There was a roll call vote and all committee members agreed to exit executive session.

Mr. Baker explained the scoring and ranking of applicants, outlining the following:

The purpose of this meeting is to score the applicants to determine who the committee will recommend for award for the Part A: Pre-Construction Services contract. Ballots were provided to each committee member for the purpose of ranking each Proposal 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> for four different evaluation and selection criteria. The following scores are assigned to each rank: 1st = 3 points, 2nd = 2 points and 3rd = 1 point. Scoring will be in descending order with the highest total score representing the best score.

The first evaluation and selection criteria outlined in Tab 1 of the RFQ is History, Organization, Financial Condition of Proposer, which includes:

- Business organization and history of the Proposer
- Organization structure
- Financial condition

Mr. Baker requested the committee members share their thoughts and recommendations for this criteria.

Mr. Baker requested that committee members complete their ranking on this evaluation and selection criteria on their ballots.

Mr. Baker explained that the second evaluation and selection criteria that was outlined in Tab 2 of the RFQ is Qualifications & Staffing Plan, which includes:

- Pre-Construction Program
- Experience of key personnel including proposed partners and others proposed in key roles for the project.
- Relationships with the sub-contractor market
- Pre-construction phase staffing plans

- Construction phase staffing plans

Mr. Baker requested the committee members share their thoughts and recommendations for this criteria.

Mr. Baker requested that committee members complete their ranking on this evaluation and selection criteria on their ballots.

Mr. Baker explained that the third evaluation and selection criteria that was outlined in Tab 3 of the RFQ is Approach & Methodology, which includes:

- Management approach
- Project organization
- Expectation of being able to propose and meet an acceptable GMP on time that meets the Owner’s expectations
- Unique capabilities/resources
- Proposed strategy to maximize DBE, SE, and/or Veteran-Owned Firms participation on this Project
- Safety program

Mr. Baker requested the committee members share their thoughts and recommendations for this criteria.

Mr. Baker requested that committee members complete their ranking on this evaluation and selection criteria on their ballots.

Mr. Baker explained that the fourth and final evaluation and selection criteria that was outlined in Tab 4 of the RFQ is Past Performance and Experience on Similar Projects, which includes:

- Pre-construction and construction experience of Proposer and its Management Team on similar projects with comparable scale and complexity.
- Experience with innovative delivery and procurement strategies
- Experience in proposing innovative design alterations that preserve quality at less cost
- History of previous professional relationship(s) between proposed team members, the Architect, and the Owner
- Demonstration of ability to provide well integrated, team approach to pre-construction services on past projects
- Satisfaction on similar projects verified with past employers/customers.
- Past performance of DBE, SE and/or Veteran-Owned Firms participation on similar projects
- Safety record

Mr. Baker requested the committee members share their thoughts and recommendations for this criteria.

Mr. Baker requested that committee members complete their ranking on this evaluation and selection criteria on their ballots.

Mr. Baker collected the ballots and read the rankings aloud. The rankings were recorded, scored and totaled to derive a total score for each Proposer. The following scores are assigned to each rank: 1st = 3 points, 2nd = 2 points and 3rd = 1 point. Scoring is descending order with the highest total score representing the best score.

The following scores were recorded.

**History, Organization, Financial Condition of Proposer**

	<b>Cheryl Cloud</b>	<b>Lyle Savant</b>	<b>Glenn Frazier</b>	<b>Christin Meeker</b>	<b>Rob Ratcliff</b>	<b>Total</b>
RNGD Builders, LLC	1	1	1	1	1	5
The Lemoine Company, LLC	2	2	2	2	3	11
Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, A Joint Venture	3	3	3	3	2	14

**Qualifications and Staffing Plan**

	<b>Cheryl Cloud</b>	<b>Lyle Savant</b>	<b>Glenn Frazier</b>	<b>Christin Meeker</b>	<b>Rob Ratcliff</b>	<b>Total</b>
RNGD Builders, LLC	1	1	2	1	1	6
The Lemoine Company, LLC	2	2	1	2	2	9
Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, A Joint Venture	3	3	3	3	3	15

**Approach and Methodology**

	<b>Cheryl Cloud</b>	<b>Lyle Savant</b>	<b>Glenn Frazier</b>	<b>Christin Meeker</b>	<b>Rob Ratcliff</b>	<b>Total</b>
RNGD Builders, LLC	1	1	1	1	1	5
The Lemoine Company, LLC	2	2	2	2	2	10
Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, A Joint Venture	3	3	3	3	3	15

**Past Performance and Experience on Similar Projects**

	<b>Cheryl Cloud</b>	<b>Lyle Savant</b>	<b>Glenn Frazier</b>	<b>Christin Meeker</b>	<b>Rob Ratcliff</b>	<b>Total</b>
RNGD Builders, LLC	2	1	1	1	1	6
The Lemoine Company, LLC	1	2	2	2	2	9
Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, A Joint Venture	3	3	3	3	3	15

**TOTAL SCORES**

	<b>History, Organization, Financial Condition of Proposer</b>	<b>Qualifications and Staffing Plan</b>	<b>Approach and Methodology</b>	<b>Past Performance and Experience on Similar Projects</b>	<b>Total</b>
RNGD Builders, LLC	5	6	5	6	22
The Lemoine Company, LLC	11	9	10	9	39
Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, A Joint Venture	14	15	15	15	59

Cangelosi Ward General Contractors, LLC & Broadmoor, LLC, A Joint Venture will be recommended in writing to the owner by the selection review committee for the Part A: Pre-Construction Services contract award.

Meeting was adjourned at 2:17 p.m.