

**PROPOSED GUSTAV/IKE ACTION PLAN AMENDMENT 10**

**DISASTER RECOVERY INITIATIVE  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT  
CONSOLIDATED SECURITY, DISASTER ASSISTANCE,  
AND CONTINUING APPROPRIATIONS  
ACT, 2009  
H.R. 2638 / Public Law 110-329**

**Louisiana Office of Community Development/Disaster Recovery Unit  
*Non-Substantial* AMENDMENT No. 10 for Technical Clarification to Amendment  
No. 4 related to Interoperability Communications Infrastructure Program under  
the STATE OF LOUISIANA ACTION PLAN FOR THE UTILIZATION OF CDBG  
FUNDS IN RESPONSE TO  
HURRICANES GUSTAV AND IKE**

**Submitted:  
June 10, 2011**

**HUD Approved: June 13, 2011**

**Bobby Jindal  
Governor**

**Jay Dardenne  
Lieutenant Governor**

**Paul Rainwater  
Commissioner of Administration**

## **PROPOSED GUSTAV/IKE ACTION PLAN AMENDMENT 10**

### **Non-Substantial Amendment Number 10 for Technical Clarification to Amendment No. 4 related to Interoperable Communications Infrastructure Program under the State of Louisiana Action Plan for Disaster Recovery – Utilizing Funding from the Consolidated Security, Disaster Assistance, and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 110-329).**

The State of Louisiana is submitting this technical clarification via amendment to Action Plan Amendment Number 4 in reference to the prioritization of the application of microwave technology over T-1 lines to provide system redundancy for the approved Interoperable Communications Infrastructure Program.

As lead for the State in interoperable communications, the State has entered into a subrecipient agreement with the Governor's Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness (GOHSEP) for management of this program. Upon analysis, GOHSEP has identified that in many cases T-1 lines are a preferred and more cost effective method for providing system redundancy, rather than just the suggested prioritization of microwave technology. The T-1 technology still achieves the same outcome of greater capacity and improved resiliency for all tower sites.

The overall funding amount of \$17,099,040 does not change. The Action Plan Amendment Number 4 highlights that both microwave and T-1 lines will be considered, with microwave being "deployed if necessary". This non-substantial Amendment Number 10 provides technical clarification that the State, through GOHSEP may opt for T-1 lines where evaluated as preferable.